**Minutes of Meeting #5 of Linux Foundation IAM Project (Draft)**

Meeting Date: 24 Aug 2022

Attendance:

|  |
| --- |
| Chris Buchanan, MITRE  Jim St. Clair, Linux Foundation |
| Jim Schoening |
| Casey Rock, US Army Futures Command |
| Iain Henderson was unable to attend but met with J Schoening prior.  Davide davidecalvi@wearedata.uk  A transcript of this meeting had technical difficulties and was not recorded.  Agenda  1. Jim St. Clair comments -- All  2. Review updated Goals and Objectives (posted at github.com/I-AM-project) --Jim  3. Collaboration with IEEE OS MyOntology (previously MyData Ontology) Project  4. Meeting Focus: Testbed at https://iamtestingbed.com -- Casey  5. Outreach (iPDS-only) (Aries, W3C, ToIP, MyData Global, Trinsic?, DIF, etc.) -- All  6. Should we explore forming an Aries Frameworks and User Group for our iPDS?  7. iPDS Piloting Plans and Status -- Jim  <https://iamtestingbed.com/>  Jim St. Clair provided the following comments, and Jim Schoening provided the responses inserted below [inside brackets]   1. The repo doesn't have a clear project description as to what it's seeking to do/develop/deliver. I can point you to other LF repos to use as examples, if desired. [Yes, please share examples and we'll seek to clarify.] 2. The goals and objectives are a little hard to discern in terms of what they're developing, and I find the "primary/secondary" matrix confusing. [We can clarify, especially where we have progress. But could you give us a chance to better explain the matrix, then we can discuss how to improve the presentation.  Perhaps we should reduce the number to those with activity.] 3. References to Bedrock should be removed. the Bedrock project is closed and we'll be taking down the repos. It's now the Didymium Project. [References to Redrock removed. Should we mention Didymium?] 4. Given our initial conversations about the purpose of this project, especially in the context of DOD credentials, SSI and Zero Trust, I'm not sure I understand why there is a use case for "save on insurance" . If that's perceived as a function of identity, we'll define that in the existing use case in Didymium. [This Use Case is on the Wallet/PDS side.  Our Mission includes, ‘This is expected to include … an open source digital wallet, edge agent, and a cloud agent designed to serve as a secure Personal Data System (PDS).’  An individual could collect their data in a secure wallet/PDS and seek bids for insurance.  That wallet/PDS could have been initially provided by their employer and required to be in order and secure (Goal-3).]    1. Which use cases address DOD credentials? [Goal-1 ‘Organizations achieve goals of Zero Trust.’]    2. Which use cases may leverage the Thunderdome project and integrate with Zero Trust? [We tried but didn’t connect with Thunderdome.] 5. "Learner Records" and education credentials are potentially being considered in other projects and may be construed as duplicative. [We’d be happy to collaborate if you could point us to others.  Casey, two interns, and I are working on standards-based knowledge graphs for a Learner Record, plus back in the 90’s, I chaired IEEE P1484.1 Learning Technology Standards Architecture, which specified the Learner Record as a component of a learning system.] 6. I'm not clear on the "mortgage ecosystem" and whether we seek financial institutions to participate? What's the relationship to zero trust? [This is on the Wallet/PDS side, where an individual could collect standard data and securely share it to obtain bids on a mortgage.  We have interest from the Hyperledger Mortgage Subgroup and present to them on 8 Sep.] 7. "Pharmacy Operations" is too broad and requires participation from the ecosystem. Note that CVS is part of TOIP and Didymium, so that use case may be better addressed there. [A government pilot of Didymium (or any solution) needs an internal operational customer that needs the capability, will help assess the risk, and accept that risk.  I am talking to a manager of a group of Army pharmacies who could be a first customer.  Happy to collaborate with others.] 8. Is there agreement upon hosting nodes and setting up a test network, presuming we've settled on Indy/Aries? [Don’t we first need a customer with requirements?] 9. I think our level of detail in our project objectives and deliverables lacks enough definition to consider "outreach". [We are not reaching out for our organizational Goals, but our Wallet/PDS testbed is ready for outreach, as you’ll see tomorrow.]  I've received feedback separately from some other project workgroups that they were confused about the IAM project and it's intent to participate, which I think we should clarify. [Happy for any help.] 10. We need to consider the resources necessary for these use cases and what is needed for sustainability. [We’re progressing based on volunteer efforts.  Additional resources welcome.]   Jim Schoening explained how the posted Goals and Objectives are our method a presenting multiple things, but we’re open to how to better to present them.  Casey Rock presented status of testbed, at <https://iamtestingbed.com/>  Chris Buchanan shared a document ‘How do you Trust,’ but since it is not approved for unlimited release, a link is not provided here.  Jim St. Clair asked that Jim Schoening and Casey Rock coordinate with him regarding their presentation and tech demo to the Hyperledger Mortgage Subgroup on 8 Sep 2022.  Jim Schoening explained how MyData Ontology is renamed to MyOntology, since it is unclear its relationship to MyData Dictionary from the MyData Global organization.  Please send edits to [james.schoening@ieee.org](mailto:james.schoening@ieee.org).  Respectfully submitted, James Schoening, 24 Aug 2022 |
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